b)(3):10 USC 424;(b)(6)	
From:	(b)(3) 10.U.S.C 424	
Sent:	Tuesday, July 21, 2015 9:25 PM	
To:	(b)(3) 10.U.S.C 424;(b)(6)	
Subject:	FW: [From NIPRNet] FW: 20 JULY_ATTY INITIAL INQUIRY _	5-196)
Classification: UNCLA	(b)(3):10 USC 424;(b)(6)	
Filed.		
Original Message- From: (b)(3) 10.U.S.C	4	
Sent: Tuesday, July 21		
To: (b)(3) 10.U.S.C 42		
Subject: 20 JULY_ATT	111111.51 11111.51.	
Please file in the	case file.	
From (b)(3) 10.U.S.C	(b)(3):10 USC 424;(b)	
Sent: Monday, July 20	, 2015 7:41 AM	
To: (b)(3) 10.U.S.C 42	;(b)(6)	
Cc:		
(b)(3) 10.U.S.C 424;(b) Subject: FW (b)(3):1	(6) LUSC 424:(b)(6)	
Subject. FVV. (b)(b). T	7 0 0 0 424,(0)(0)	
Gents - please see be	ow. Need your input before we proceed.	
This will be an import	int process to go through, as it will likely inform how we do this, on an increasing basis,	in the
future.		
	(b)(3):10 USC 424;(b)(6)	
	se schedule a therg with me, OGC, and to discuss the specifics of this c	ase. Mid
week would be best.		
Thanks. (b)(3) 10.U.S. 424;(b)(6)		
Original Message-		
From: Mark S. Zaid, E	q. [mailto:mark@markzaid.com]	
Sent: Monday, July 20		
To: (b)(3) 10.U.S.C 42	;(b)(6)	
Cc:	20 404 (1) (2)	
Subject: (b)(3):10 U	SC 424;(D)(6)	
(b)(3) 10.U.S.C 424;		
(b)(6)		

My office has been retained to represent the above former DIA employee with respect to his recent submission of a resume to your office for classification review.
Although there is actually very little in dispute, my client does regard the redactions as substantively significant and believes the information has been officially released previously. As I am currently not privy to the specific language at issue, at this time I simply want to facilitate an opportunity for
Please let me know if your office is amenable to such a meeting and if so we can discuss coordination. I can best be reached via email or my cell at
Thank you for your consideration, and I look forward to hearing from your office.
Mark (b)(6)
Sent from my T-Mobile 4G LTE Device
Classification: LINCLASSIFIED/#5046